



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/738,427

12/17/2003

Joel Morganroth

D5859-00021

8208

8933

7590

07/02/2007

DUANE MORRIS, LLP

IP DEPARTMENT

30 SOUTH 17TH STREET

PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103-4196

EXAMINER

LAYNO, CARL HERNANDZ

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3766

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

07/02/2007

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

# Interview Summary

Application No.

10/738,427

Applicant(s)

MORGANROTH, JOEL

Examiner

Carl H. Layno

Art Unit

3766

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Carl H. Layno.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Joseph A. Powers.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 27 June 2007.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 21.

Identification of prior art discussed: Williams (US 5,669,391) and Powell (US 5,549,654).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

CARL LAYNO  
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an  
Attachment to a signed Office action.

Carl H. Layno  
Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's representative and the Examiner agreed that claim 21, part c), as written, did not distinguish between automatic interval point selection and manual point selection by the physician, and that a future amendment should clarify this difference since the prior art references of Williams (US 5,669,391) and Powell (US 5,549,654) appeared to use only automatic point selection. The applicant's representative noted the deficiencies in the Williams (US 5,669,391) and Powell (US 5,549,654) patents with respect to annotations on their ECG tracings. Both of these patents were used in rejections cited in the last Office Action .